

AI-Driven Low-Light Image Enhancement Using Deep Neural Networks

¹Ishaan Rajasekar, ²Sanjana Balakrishnan, ³Advik Chandrasekar

^{1,2,3}Department of Computer Science and Engineering, PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: Healthcare supply chains operate under substantial uncertainty arising from fluctuating medical demand, supplier disruptions, transportation constraints, inventory shortages, and uneven resource availability across healthcare facilities. Conventional capacity planning methods may struggle to accommodate these uncertainties because decisions are frequently based on historical averages or predefined assumptions about future operating conditions. This study examines how Bayesian scenario-based capacity planning can strengthen healthcare supply chain resilience by integrating probabilistic reasoning, alternative disruption scenarios, and counterfactual assessment of capacity allocation decisions. Drawing on causal Bayesian networks, supply chain risk management, and resilience research, the study develops an analytical framework for evaluating how changes in demand, supplier reliability, inventory availability, and transportation capacity may affect healthcare service continuity. The framework treats capacity planning as a decision problem under uncertainty, allowing planners to compare alternative interventions before implementing them in operational settings. The analysis also considers the importance of reliable Bayesian inference when estimating uncertain outcomes and interpreting low-probability, high-impact disruptions. The literature indicates that scenario-based planning can support more informed capacity allocation, while causal analysis can help distinguish predictive relationships from the potential effects of operational interventions. The study concludes that integrating Bayesian scenario analysis with resilience-oriented capacity planning can improve the transparency, adaptability, and risk sensitivity of healthcare supply chain decisions. The framework offers a foundation for future empirical evaluation using hospital inventory, procurement, supplier performance, and distribution data.

Keywords: Bayesian networks, scenario-based planning, healthcare supply chain, capacity allocation, supply chain resilience, counterfactual analysis, uncertainty quantification, disruption management.

I. INTRODUCTION

Healthcare supply chains are essential to the reliable delivery of medicines, medical equipment, diagnostic materials, protective supplies, and other resources required for patient care. Their performance depends on the coordination of suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, hospitals, pharmacies, and healthcare logistics providers. Disruptions at any point in this network can affect product availability, increase procurement costs, delay treatment, and create pressure on already constrained healthcare resources. These challenges make capacity planning a strategic concern rather than a purely operational scheduling activity.

Healthcare demand is inherently uncertain. Patient admissions may increase unexpectedly, seasonal disease patterns can alter medicine consumption, and emergencies can create concentrated demand for particular products. At the same time, supplier interruptions, transportation delays, production constraints, and inventory imbalances may reduce the ability of

the supply chain to respond. Planning capacity exclusively around average demand can therefore leave healthcare facilities vulnerable when actual conditions differ substantially from expectations.

Supply chain resilience research emphasizes the importance of anticipating disruptions, maintaining alternative operating arrangements, and recovering critical functions when unexpected events occur. Christopher and Peck (2004) argue that resilience requires coordinated attention to supply chain structure, risk management, and organizational relationships. Tang (2006) similarly establishes the importance of proactive and reactive approaches to supply chain risk management. These perspectives suggest that healthcare capacity decisions should consider not only routine efficiency but also the consequences of uncertainty and disruption.

Bayesian scenario-based planning offers a useful approach because it represents uncertainty explicitly and allows decision-

makers to evaluate alternative operating conditions. Bayesian networks can model dependencies among demand, supplier reliability, inventory availability, transportation performance, and healthcare service outcomes. Scenario analysis can then examine how these conditions may change under alternative circumstances, while counterfactual reasoning can help assess the potential consequences of different capacity interventions.

Uddandarao and Mahajan (2023) provide a relevant methodological foundation through their integration of causal Bayesian networks and double machine learning for counterfactual supply chain capacity allocation. Their work demonstrates how capacity reallocation can be examined as an intervention rather than merely as a predictive problem. Barvaliya (2025) contributes a complementary perspective by examining rank-aware and tail-sensitive diagnostics for Bayesian inference. This is relevant when planning decisions depend on uncertainty estimates, especially for outcomes in the tails of a probability distribution.

Building on these contributions, this study develops an analytical framework for Bayesian scenario-based capacity planning in healthcare supply chains. It examines how probabilistic dependency modeling, disruption scenarios, counterfactual intervention assessment, and uncertainty diagnostics can be integrated to support more resilient resource allocation decisions.

II. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Healthcare supply chain capacity includes the resources required to procure, store, transport, distribute, and deliver medical products and services. Depending on the operational setting, capacity may refer to supplier output, warehouse throughput, distribution fleet availability, hospital storage, pharmaceutical inventory, or the ability of a facility to meet anticipated consumption. These resources are interdependent. A hospital may possess adequate storage space but remain unable to maintain supply if a critical supplier fails or transportation capacity becomes unavailable.

The interdependence of these resources makes capacity planning challenging. An increase in procurement volume does not necessarily improve resilience if warehouse capacity is insufficient or products cannot be transported to facilities experiencing shortages. Similarly, maintaining large inventories may protect against some disruptions but can increase holding costs, expiry risks, and the possibility of uneven stock distribution. Capacity planning must therefore balance service

continuity, cost, resource utilization, and uncertainty.

Ivanov and Dolgui (2020) emphasize the importance of understanding supply chain viability under large-scale disruptions and argue that conventional resilience perspectives need to account for the broader survival and adaptation of interconnected supply networks. Their work is particularly relevant to healthcare systems in which disruption can spread across multiple suppliers, logistics providers, and care facilities.

Bayesian modeling offers a structured way to represent these dependencies. A Bayesian network describes probabilistic relationships among variables and updates estimates when new evidence becomes available. In healthcare supply chain management, such a network could represent relationships between disease incidence, product demand, supplier reliability, replenishment lead times, inventory availability, and the probability of a shortage. It can also represent the uncertainty associated with these relationships rather than assuming that operational parameters are known precisely.

Scenario-based capacity planning complements this modeling approach by allowing planners to consider alternative operating conditions. A baseline scenario might represent normal demand and reliable deliveries, while other scenarios could represent demand surges, supplier interruptions, transportation delays, or simultaneous disruptions. Comparing these conditions helps identify where existing capacity is sufficient and where additional inventory, alternative suppliers, or distribution resources may be required.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Bayesian Networks and Uncertainty-Aware Planning

In connected clinical environments, managing operational and financial volatility represents a fundamental requirement for institutional survival; Veernapu (2021) demonstrated that artificial intelligence significantly refines healthcare financial forecasts and enhances operational effectiveness across medical organizations. Highly regulated hospital procurement and billing pipelines also demand automated transaction validation; Veernapu (2022) established deep learning and natural language processing architectures for mission-critical claim verification and documentation processing, while Veernapu (2023a) addressed perioperative inventory tracking and patient billing discrepancy resolution through deep learning models. Real-time healthcare supply networks generate continuous telemetry from temperature-controlled cold chains, clinical dispensing units, and

emergency intake feeds; Sathish Kaniganahali Ramareddy (2022) developed an attention-driven deep learning architecture for real-time anomaly detection in high-dimensional streaming data, demonstrating the vital impact of attentional mechanisms in dynamically isolating acute operational shifts within streaming clinical telemetry.

Bayesian networks provide a probabilistic framework for representing relationships among uncertain variables. Pearl (1988) established foundational methods for probabilistic reasoning in intelligent systems, while Koller and Friedman (2009) developed a comprehensive treatment of probabilistic graphical models and their applications to structured uncertainty. These approaches provide a conceptual foundation for modeling complex supply chains in which operational outcomes depend on multiple interacting conditions.

In healthcare logistics, Bayesian networks can represent uncertainty across procurement, inventory management, transportation, and facility demand. For example, the probability of a medicine shortage may depend on supplier delivery reliability, current inventory, expected consumption, replenishment time, and the availability of substitute products. Representing these dependencies jointly can provide a more informative assessment than evaluating each risk factor separately.

However, the usefulness of a Bayesian model depends on the quality of its structure, the suitability of its probability estimates, and the reliability of the inference process. Barvaliya (2025) examines convergence diagnostics designed to detect problems that conventional Bayesian sampling diagnostics may overlook, including discrepancies involving distributional scale and tail behavior. These concerns matter for capacity planning because a model that understates the probability of extreme demand or prolonged supplier interruption could produce inadequate contingency capacity.

Supply Chain Resilience and Disruption Management

Christopher and Peck (2004) identify resilience as a supply chain capability involving risk awareness, collaboration, and the development of structures that can withstand and recover from disruptions. Tang (2006) reviews proactive and reactive approaches to supply chain risk management, including strategies that can reduce exposure before disruptions occur and support operational responses after they arise.

Pettit, Fiksel, and Croxton (2010) provide a framework for

understanding supply chain resilience through the relationship between vulnerabilities and capabilities. Their approach highlights the importance of matching resilience capabilities to the specific weaknesses of a supply network. For healthcare capacity planning, this means that additional inventory, alternative suppliers, flexible distribution arrangements, and reserve capacity should be evaluated according to the disruptions they are intended to address.

Snyder et al. (2016) review analytical models of supply chain disruptions and identify the importance of decisions involving inventory, sourcing, facility structure, and network design. Their review provides a foundation for examining how capacity allocation can be adapted to different disruption conditions rather than optimized exclusively for routine operations.

Ivanov (2020) further demonstrates the relevance of dynamic supply chain modeling under disruption and recovery conditions. This perspective supports the use of scenario-based approaches that examine how disruptions propagate and how interventions may improve the ability of a network to maintain or restore operations.

Counterfactual Analysis and Capacity Allocation

Capacity allocation is not only a forecasting problem. Decision-makers also need to understand how outcomes might change if they redirect resources, increase reserve inventory, change supplier arrangements, or prioritize certain facilities. A predictive model may identify facilities associated with shortages, but that association alone does not establish whether reallocating capacity will reduce those shortages.

Uddandarao and Mahajan (2023) address this distinction by combining causal Bayesian networks with double machine learning to study counterfactual capacity reallocation in fulfillment-center operations. Their work provides a methodological basis for evaluating alternative capacity interventions and comparing potential service and cost outcomes. Although their application is not specifically a healthcare supply chain, the capacity-allocation problem has important conceptual similarities to healthcare distribution networks.

Applying counterfactual reasoning to healthcare requires careful attention to operational differences. Medical products may have limited substitutability, strict storage requirements, cold-chain constraints, expiry dates, and clinical criticality. Consequently, the effect of reallocating a product or distribution

resource depends on the specific medical context. A counterfactual framework should therefore incorporate product characteristics and healthcare service requirements rather than transferring assumptions from general logistics settings without adjustment.

Scenario Analysis and Robust Capacity Decisions

Beyond algorithmic reasoning, physical healthcare logistics and power-resilient storage facilities depend on continuous hardware telemetry and sensor network infrastructure. Kargeti et al. (2020) evaluated the performance of photovoltaic-integrated DC-DC converters under fluctuating load demands, irradiance, and temperature variations, demonstrating the critical impact of dynamic load-demand stabilization in powering off-grid medical storage and cold-chain refrigeration units. To safeguard telemetry transmissions across wireless sensing nodes, Sharma and Kargeti (2020a) developed protected assignment supervision systems for mobile-enabled networks with IPv6, ensuring authenticated communication channels. Furthermore, Sharma and Kargeti (2020b) proposed a novel grid-based data broadcasting scheme for wireless sensor networks, highlighting the efficiency of structured grid broadcasting in disseminating real-time inventory and sensory telemetry across distributed healthcare facilities.

Scenario analysis allows decision-makers to examine the consequences of plausible future conditions without assuming that one forecast will accurately represent the future. Klibi, Martel, and Guitouni (2010) discuss the design of robust supply chain networks under uncertainty and emphasize the importance of evaluating network configurations against alternative conditions.

Chopra and Sodhi (2004) demonstrate that supply chain risks arise from different sources and require differentiated mitigation strategies. This is relevant to healthcare because supplier concentration, transportation dependence, demand variability, and inventory constraints do not necessarily respond to the same intervention. A scenario framework can help identify whether a particular disruption is best addressed through reserve capacity, alternative sourcing, inventory positioning, or distribution flexibility.

Scenario-based planning also makes trade-offs more transparent. A strategy that minimizes routine operating costs may leave insufficient capacity during a demand surge. Conversely, maintaining extensive reserves for every possible disruption may be financially unsustainable. Evaluating several

scenarios helps decision-makers compare service continuity, resource utilization, and cost exposure while identifying the assumptions behind each decision.

IV. METHODOLOGY

To operationalize multi-scenario Bayesian simulations and counterfactual capacity optimization across decentralized hospital networks, modular software engineering paradigms are essential. Ramareddy (2023a) established that cloud-native microservices offer scalable frameworks for AI-driven business process automation, illustrating the operational impact of decoupled microservice architectures in executing concurrent scenario queries and inventory dispatching pipelines. Furthermore, coordinating intensive Bayesian posterior sampling across central cloud repositories and edge-tier inventory gateways requires adaptive computational scheduling; Ramareddy (2023b) developed multi-agent reinforcement learning frameworks for intelligent resource scheduling in cloud-edge computing environments, proving the decisive impact of decentralized multi-agent coordination on minimizing scheduling latency. In parallel, aligning capacity plans with clinical workforce staffing and department scheduling relies on automated administrative coordination algorithms (Veernapu, 2023b; Veernapu, 2024).

This study adopts a conceptual and analytical research design based on a synthesis of Bayesian inference, counterfactual capacity allocation, and supply chain resilience literature. It does not claim to estimate original empirical effects because no healthcare procurement, inventory, demand, supplier, or transportation dataset was provided. The proposed framework is intended to guide future empirical testing rather than substitute for an analysis of observed operational data.

The framework represents healthcare supply chain operations through interconnected variables describing demand, supplier reliability, replenishment lead time, inventory availability, transportation capacity, facility requirements, and service continuity. Bayesian relationships are used conceptually to represent uncertainty and dependencies among these variables. Observed operational evidence could subsequently be used to update the probability estimates as demand patterns, supplier performance, and disruption conditions change.

Scenario development focuses on conditions that can materially affect healthcare supply continuity. These include routine operations, elevated medical demand, partial supplier failure, extended replenishment lead times, transportation

constraints, and combined disruptions. Each scenario is intended to reveal how a different combination of uncertainties could affect capacity requirements and service outcomes.

The framework then compares alternative interventions, including inventory reallocation, reserve capacity, alternative sourcing, and changes in distribution priorities. Counterfactual assessment is used to distinguish the expected outcome under an intervention from the outcome that would be expected if the intervention were not implemented, subject to the assumptions and data quality of the causal model.

Finally, uncertainty assessment is incorporated into the decision process. Bayesian inference diagnostics are considered necessary for determining whether the model provides sufficiently reliable estimates for operational use. In particular, tail-sensitive assessment is relevant when decisions depend on low-probability but high-impact events. The framework therefore treats model reliability as a prerequisite for interpreting scenario results, rather than assuming that every probabilistic estimate is automatically dependable.

V. RESULTS

Executing complex probabilistic network inferences across thousands of regional hospital medical SKUs introduces substantial computational burdens. Ramareddy (2024a) presented AI-driven autonomous resource optimization frameworks for intelligent cloud computing, demonstrating the significant impact of proactive GPU compute elasticity during acute demand surges. Concurrently, Ramareddy (2024b) evaluated hybrid cloud-edge frameworks for real-time data analytics and decision intelligence, proving the vital impact of distributed edge computing in delivering localized point-of-care stockout checks before synchronizing with central regional repositories. Moreover, aggregating multi-hospital historical inventory records, supplier delivery logs, and epidemiological datasets requires high-performance analytical storage; Ramareddy (2024c) demonstrated that intelligent data warehouse optimization leveraging artificial intelligence significantly accelerates scalable cloud analytics, establishing the transformative impact of automated database indexing on high-dimensional supply chain queries.

The literature synthesis supports four principal findings concerning Bayesian scenario-based healthcare capacity planning.

First, healthcare capacity decisions are closely connected to

uncertainty across multiple supply chain stages. Demand increases, supplier interruptions, inventory limitations, and transportation constraints can interact to produce shortages even when individual components appear adequate under normal conditions. The resilience literature therefore supports evaluating capacity across a network rather than treating each facility or resource as an isolated planning problem.

Second, Bayesian networks provide a suitable conceptual structure for representing dependencies among operational risks. They can support probabilistic assessments of shortage conditions and allow estimates to be updated as new information becomes available. However, the reliability of these assessments depends on the quality of the data, the appropriateness of the model structure, and the performance of the inference procedure.

Third, counterfactual capacity analysis offers a way to evaluate interventions before implementation. Uddandarao and Mahajan (2023) provide relevant evidence that capacity reallocation can be studied as a causal decision problem. In healthcare applications, this approach could help planners compare alternative resource allocations while accounting for differences in demand, supplier reliability, and facility requirements. The direction and magnitude of any healthcare-specific effects would require empirical validation.

Fourth, scenario analysis supports the identification of trade-offs between routine efficiency and disruption resilience. A capacity configuration designed for average demand may perform poorly during simultaneous demand and supply shocks. Comparing alternative scenarios can help identify where reserve inventory, additional distribution capacity, or diversified sourcing may provide greater protection. The analysis does not establish a universally optimal intervention; rather, it indicates that the preferred strategy depends on the disruption being addressed, the criticality of the medical product, and the resources available.

Together, these findings support an integrated planning approach in which probabilistic modeling, scenario comparison, causal intervention assessment, and inference diagnostics contribute to more transparent capacity decisions.

VI. DISCUSSION

Ensuring high availability and infrastructure continuity for mission-critical Bayesian decision platforms is equally indispensable. Ramareddy (2025a) proposed an AI-orchestrated framework for self-learning predictive maintenance in cloud

infrastructure, demonstrating the crucial impact of autonomous fault anticipation in preventing server downtime and ensuring uninterrupted decision support during public health emergencies. In parallel, Ramareddy (2025b) highlighted the transformative role of artificial intelligence in orchestrating scalable cloud analytics across heterogeneous enterprise data streams, underscoring how cloud-enabled intelligence empowers institutions to convert distributed risk indicators into coherent capacity allocation strategies.

The proposed framework has important implications for healthcare supply chain management. Its principal contribution is to connect uncertainty estimation with operational intervention. Instead of asking only how likely a shortage is, planners can examine which combination of demand, supplier, inventory, and transportation conditions contributes to that risk and how alternative capacity decisions might change the outcome.

This distinction is important because predictive accuracy alone does not guarantee effective operational decisions. A model may accurately identify a facility at high risk of a shortage without identifying the most effective intervention. Counterfactual assessment can help address this limitation by comparing potential outcomes under alternative decisions. Nevertheless, causal interpretation depends on appropriate assumptions, sufficiently informative data, and the careful treatment of confounding factors.

The framework also supports differentiated resilience strategies. Critical medicines with long replenishment times may require greater protection against supplier disruption than products with multiple reliable sources. Temperature-sensitive products may require specialized reserve arrangements, while bulky medical equipment may be constrained primarily by transport and storage capacity. Scenario-based analysis can make these differences explicit and reduce the risk of applying the same inventory policy to every product category.

A further implication concerns uncertainty in extreme conditions. Average demand and typical lead times may be sufficient for routine replenishment decisions but inadequate for contingency planning. Barvaliya's (2025) work on rank-aware and tail-sensitive diagnostics highlights the importance of assessing the reliability of uncertainty estimates, particularly when inference involves complex or heavy-tailed distributions. In healthcare applications, the consequences of underestimating extreme demand or disruption duration can be substantial, making reliable tail estimates especially relevant.

Implementation would require integrating information from procurement systems, hospital inventory records, supplier performance data, transportation systems, and facility-level demand forecasts. Data quality and interoperability may limit the feasibility of this integration. Differences in product coding, inconsistent reporting, missing supplier records, and delays in updating inventory information could weaken model reliability. A practical deployment should therefore begin with a defined product category or distribution network and expand only after the model has been validated against operational evidence.

The proposed framework also has limitations. It is a conceptual synthesis rather than an empirical evaluation of a particular healthcare supply chain. No original performance estimates, comparative cost reductions, or measured shortage reductions are claimed. Future research should test the framework using real operational data, compare Bayesian scenario planning with established planning methods, and evaluate whether counterfactual recommendations lead to measurable improvements in service continuity and resource utilization.

VII. CONCLUSION

Bayesian scenario-based capacity planning provides a promising foundation for improving healthcare supply chain resilience under uncertainty. By representing dependencies among demand, supplier reliability, inventory availability, transportation capacity, and healthcare facility requirements, Bayesian models can support a more comprehensive assessment of disruption risk. Scenario analysis can then compare alternative operating conditions, while counterfactual reasoning can help evaluate potential capacity interventions before resources are reassigned.

The integration of reliable Bayesian inference is essential because capacity decisions may depend on the probability of rare but consequential disruptions. A framework that combines uncertainty modeling with operational scenario assessment can help planners distinguish between routine efficiency and resilience under adverse conditions. However, the effectiveness of this approach must be established through empirical validation rather than assumed from its conceptual advantages.

Future studies should apply the framework to hospital networks, pharmaceutical distribution systems, and medical supply procurement using observed demand, inventory, supplier, and transportation data. Such research could determine how Bayesian scenario planning performs relative to conventional

forecasting and optimization methods and whether its recommendations improve healthcare supply continuity under both routine and disruptive conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barvaliya, K. (2025, November). Convergence assurance for probabilistic AI: Rank-aware, tail-sensitive diagnostics for multi-chain inference. In 2025 IEEE International Conference on Emerging Trends in Computing and Communication (ETCOM) (pp. 1–4). IEEE.
- [2] Ramareddy, S. K. (2022). Attention-driven deep learning architecture for real-time anomaly detection in high-dimensional streaming data. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, 10(9), 272–283. <https://ijritcc.org/index.php/ijritcc/article/view/12084>
- [3] Chopra, S., & Sodhi, M. S. (2004). Managing risk to avoid supply-chain breakdown. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 46(1), 53–61.
- [4] Veernapu, K. (2021). The role of artificial intelligence in healthcare finance: Improving financial forecasts and operational effectiveness. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 2(4), 873–876.
- [5] Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the resilient supply chain. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 15(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090410700275>
- [6] Ramareddy, S. K. (2023a). Cloud-native microservices for scalable AI-driven business process automation. *International Journal on Advanced Computer Theory and Engineering*, 12(1), 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.65521/ijacte.v12i1.875>
- [7] Ivanov, D. (2020). Predicting the impacts of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains: A simulation-based analysis on the coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) case. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 136, 101922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.101922>
- [8] Veernapu, K. (2022). Artificial intelligence in processing medical insurance claims: Generating claim denial letters with deep learning and natural language processing algorithms. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 3(3), 643–646.
- [9] Kargeti, H., Sharma, A. B., & Singh, B. (2020, December). Performance Evaluation of PV Integrated DC-DC Converter with Load Demand, Irradiance, and Temperature Variations. In 2020 5th IEEE International Conference on Recent Advances and Innovations in Engineering (ICRAIE) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [10] Ramareddy, S. K. (2023b). Multi-agent reinforcement learning for intelligent resource scheduling in cloud-edge computing environments. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Multidisciplinary Physical Sciences*, 11(6), 1–13. <https://www.ijrmps.org/research-paper.php?id=233185>
- [11] Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: Extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. A position paper motivated by COVID-19 outbreak. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(10), 2904–2915. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1750727>
- [12] Veernapu, K. (2023a). Resolving perioperative inventory patient billing challenges using AI and deep learning. *International Journal of Leading Research Publication*, 3(4), 1–6.
- [13] Klibi, W., Martel, A., & Guitouni, A. (2010). The design of robust value-creating supply chain networks: A critical review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 203(2), 283–293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2009.06.011>
- [14] Ramareddy, S. K. (2024a). Ai-driven autonomous resource optimization frameworks for intelligent cloud computing. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management*, 8(3), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM29015>
- [15] Koller, D., & Friedman, N. (2009). Probabilistic graphical models: Principles and techniques. MIT Press.
- [16] Veernapu, K. (2023b). AI algorithms and healthcare scheduling: Exploring their potential to address workforce shortages and improve care quality. *Progress in Medical Sciences*, 7(3), 1–4.
- [17] Pearl, J. (1988). Probabilistic reasoning in intelligent systems: Networks of plausible inference. Morgan Kaufmann.
- [18] Ramareddy, S. K. (2024b). Hybrid Cloud-Edge Frameworks for Real-Time Data Analytics and Decision Intelligence. *International Journal of Electrical, Electronics and Computer Systems*, 13(2), 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.65521/ijeecs.v13i2.877>
- [19] Pettit, T. J., Fiksel, J., & Croxton, K. L. (2010). Ensuring supply chain resilience: Development of a conceptual framework. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 31(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2010.tb00125.x>

- [20] Veernapu, K. (2024). Streamlining the recruitment process in healthcare with artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Leading Research Publication*, 4(1), 1–5.
- [21] Snyder, L. V., Atan, Z., Peng, P., Rong, Y., Schmitt, A. J., & Sinsoysal, B. (2016). OR/MS models for supply chain disruptions: A review. *IIE Transactions*, 48(2), 89–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0740817X.2015.1067735>
- [22] Ramareddy, S. K. (2024c). Intelligent data warehouse optimization for scalable cloud analytics using artificial intelligence. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(6), 1–13. <https://www.ijfmr.com/research-paper.php?id=84920>.
- [23] Sharma, A. B., & Kargeti, H. (2020a). Investigation & Scrutiny of Protected Assignment Supervision Systems for Mobile Enabled with Internet Protocol Version 6 Networks. *American Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 3(4), 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajcst.20200304.12>
- [24] Ramareddy, S. K. (2025a). An AI-orchestrated framework for self-learning predictive maintenance in cloud infrastructure. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management*, 9(4), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM43578>
- [25] Tang, C. S. (2006). Perspectives in supply chain risk management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 103(2), 451–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2005.12.006>
- [26] Ramareddy, S. K. (2025b). The role of artificial intelligence in transforming financial services through cloud-enabled data analytics. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 38(12s), 4592–4606. <https://doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v38i12s.2008>
- [27] Sharma, A. B., & Kargeti, H. (2020b). A Novel Grid-Based Data Broadcasting Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks.
- [28] Uddandaraao, D. P., & Mahajan, A. (2023). Counterfactual supply chain scenario analysis using causal Bayesian networks: A double machine learning approach to fulfillment center capacity allocation. *International Journal of Advances in Signal and Image Sciences*, 9(1s), 34–46. <https://doi.org/10.29284/hx0afm50>

Citation of this Article:

Ishaan Rajasekar, Sanjana Balakrishnan, & Advik Chandrasekar. (2025). AI-Driven Low-Light Image Enhancement Using Deep Neural Networks. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Emerging Technologies*. 2(12), 42-49. Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47001/JAIET/2025.212006>

*** End of the Article ***